

## CHARACTERIZATION OF DISSOLVED ORGANIC MATTER IN SELECTED WETLANDS FROM NORTHERN NIGERIA



S. Yusuf<sup>\*1</sup> and A. A. Audu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Sule Lamido University, PMB 048, Kafin Hausa, Jigawa State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pure & Industrial Chemistry, Bayero University, PMB 3011, Kano, Nigeria \*Corresponding author: <u>sayzay37@yahoo.com</u>

Received: December 20, 2016 Accepted: March 07, 2017

Abstract: The molecular composition of dissolved organic matter (DOM), collected from Baturiya, Nguru, Matara-Uku, Jebba and Lokoja wetlands of the Northern Nigeria were characterized using Fourier Transform Infra–Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). FTIR results indicated that the DOM of these wetlands contain the phenolic hydroxyl groups, hydroxyl group, conjugated double bond of aromatic family (C=C), amino, amide and free carboxyl groups. The major components identified in all DOM samples analyzed using GC-MS were phenol, 2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol, 1-Methyl-4-propan-2-ylbenzene, methyl esters, 2-Myristynoyl pantetheine, 2,2,4-Trimethyl-3-carboxyisopropyl, isobutyl ester, 1,1-Bis(dodecyloxy) hexadecane, Stearic acid hydrizide, 3-Trifluoroacetoxytetradecane, Rhodopin, 2-hydroxy-2-octylsebacic acid, alkenes, alcohols, amines and cyclocompounds. The large carbonyl, phenyl hydroxyl and amine functional groups could explain that DOM from these wetlands might have a strong reactive potential with inorganic and organic pollutants. Phenol hydroxyl groups, conjugated double bonds, amino and carboxylic groups have been implicated for the formation of disinfection by-products like trihalomethane and trihaloacetic acids which are carcinogenic.
 Keywords: Characterization, dissolved organic matter, FTIR, GC-MS, wetlands

### Introduction

Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is ever-present in all natural waters and is known to play vital roles in the global carbon and nitrogen cycles, (Seitzinger *et al.*, 2002; Lu *et al.*, 2004; Lennon, 2004) the fate, mobility and transformation of contaminants and nutrients, (Ravichandran *et al.*, 2004). It is recognized that dissolved organic matter (DOM) is the principal precursor of disinfection byproducts (DBPs) in water treated with chlorine (Gibert *et al.*, 2013). Trihalomethanes (THMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs) are the two major groups of DBPs, which are potentially carcinogenic (Lennon, 2004; Kaiser *et al.*, 2001).

The substances that compose the DOM have the ability to retain and transport organic and inorganic pollutants in the environment (Ohlenbusch and Frimmel, 2001), and it is the type and nature of the functional groups present, like carboxyl (-COOH), phenol (benzene-OH), alcohol (-CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), and methoxyl (-OCH<sub>3</sub>), that can influence its interaction ability with the different pollutants (Ravichandran, 2004). Thus, there is a great need to further understand the composition, variability and reactivity of dissolved organic matter in the environment.

The array of molecules that make up the DOM and their low concentration in water systems make their characterization complex (Kaiser et al., 2001; Rochelle-Newall and Fisher, 2002). Therefore, to facilitate their study it is often necessary to isolate and concentrate them by methods such as reverse osmosis, evaporation under reduced pressure, or freeze-drying (Luet al., 2004; Kaiseret al., 2001; Puddu et al., 2000), and then fractionate them according to their polarity using fractionating resins like Amberlite XAD or by molecular size through techniques like ultrafiltration, ultracentrifugation, and high performance size exclusion chromatography (Marschner and Kalbitz, 2003; Hedges, 2002). The recording of FTIR spectra of freeze dried water samples is another possibility to investigate the DOM composition of water sample (Benke et al., 1998). This was also done by Capriel (1997) with bulk soil. When DOM is analyzed by <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR), fluorescence, UV-Vis, and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) techniques, they provide information related to the components of the DOM, and these are used as its fingerprints (Ohlenbusch and Frimmel, 2001; Aluwihare and Repeta, 1999), characteristics that allow predicting its behaviour in the environment and its effect on a variety of pollutants (Kim *et al.*, 2003).

In recent years research work related with DOM has increased considerably, because of its effect of retention and transport of organic and inorganic pollutants in different natural substrates (Leenheer *et al.*, 2004; Peuravuori, 2005).

In Nigeria there are very few studies aimed at the characterization of basins of great significance to agriculture, such as the wetlands, lakes and Rivers. The Hadejia-Nuru, Baturiya, Matara-Uku, Lokoja and Jebba wetlands in Northern Nigeria have not been characterised and this call for concern. Still, there is little information about the DOM in the wetlands of Northern Nigeria, though their characterization is of great importance given that, it can change the fate of the pollutants present in the water.

The Lokoja and Jebba wetlands (Fig. 2) are part of the Lower Kaduna-Middle Niger wetlands which are located on the extensive floodplain of the mid-section of River Niger (in Nigeria) and the lower course of one of its main tributary, River Kaduna. The wetlands extend from Jebba (9°00'N 4°50'E) to Baro (8°35'N 6°25'E) along the Niger, and from Wuya Bridge on the River Kaduna, along the Jebba - Bida (9°00'N 6°00'E) road downstream to Pategi (8°45'N 5°37'E) on the south bank of the Niger. The Hadejia-Nguru wetlands (Fig. 1) are located between latitudes 12°15'N and 12°55'N, and between longitudes 10°E and 11°E in the sudan savanna of Nigeria, Baturiya wetland (Fig. 3) occupies an area of approximately 101,095 ha and is located between latitude 12°31'N and longitudes 010°29'E. Over half a million people depend upon the lake and the surrounding wetlands for their livelihoods, especially for water supply. Majority of the people living around the lake are either fishermen, or processors and marketers of fish. The land around the lake is irrigated in both dry and wet season for vegetables and rice production. The lake is also used for livestock grazing, recreation and research, since it has a large biodiversity of birds, insects, wildlife and flowering plants. In fact the lake and the surrounding wetlands is a particularly good representative of natural wetlands, which embodies all the diverse flora and fauna at both the Sahel and the Sudan savannah in a single location; hence the area is important for ecotourism. Hadejia-Nguru wetlands are designated as a Ramsar site by the Ramsar Convention; it is presently the only Ramsar site in Nigeria. All this confirms the importance

of evaluating the presence of DOM and its characteristics, as the wetlands. well as the effect that it can have on the pollutants present in



Fig. 1: Hadejia-Nguru wetlands showing Nguru and Matara-Uku wetlands



Fig. 2: Map of Jebba and Lokoja wetlands showing sampling sites



Fig. 3: Map of Baturiya wetlands showing sampling sites

The aim of this study is to characterize the DOM and then compare the structural and chemical characteristics of the DOM from the various wetlands. The study involves the use of FTIR and GC-MS techniques, which to the best of our knowledge have not been used in the characterization of DOM in these wetlands. This will provide new information on the characteristics of DOM from different sources. Such knowledge can assist in our understanding of the composition and chemical properties of DOM samples in aqueous environments.

# Material and Methods

## Sampling and sample treatment

Surface-water samples were collected using standard water sampling procedure n 2 L white, low-density polyethylene bottles from 35 sites spread across five sampling locations during the early part of the dry season and the wet season. In Jebba wetland samples were collected from sites J1, J2, J3, J4, J5, J6 and J7, in Matara-Uku wetland samples were collected from sites M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6 and M7, while in Baturiya wetland sites Br1, Br2, Br3, Br4, Br5, Br6 and Br7 were sampled. Likewise, in Lokoja wetlands sites L1, L2, L3, L4, L5, L6 and L7 were also sampled. Similarly, samples were also collected from sites N1, N2, N3, N4, N5, N6 and N7 in Nguru wetland. Composite samples were collected 200 m apart from each sampling location. The samples were kept at about 4°C and taken to the laboratory for analysis. The bottles were washed by soaking in 0.5 molL<sup>-1</sup> HCl followed by 0.1 molL<sup>-1</sup> NaOH for 24 h each. Six (6) L of water samples from each sampling location were filtered through precombusted (470°C for 4 h) 0.7 -µm GF/F glass fiber filters (Whatman International), passed through Dowex 50 W x 8 column, rotary evaporated and freeze-dried for FTIR and GC-MS analyses (Lu et al., 2003).

#### Chemicals and reagents used

Hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, dichloromethane and Dowex 50 W x 8 column were purchased from BDH, England. 0.7 - $\mu$ m GF/F glass fiber filters (Whatman International) were obtained from sigma-aldrish and distilled-deionised water was used.

#### Characterization of DOM

The freeze-dried DOM samples were analyzed for their structural and chemical characteristics. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer and GC-MS (Agilent Technologies) were used for the characterization of DOM. The spectra resolution was 8 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 32 scans were averaged for FTIR. GC-MS was carried out with Agilent 7890-A having an MS detector 5975-C, ionization for MS is electron ionization. Mass analyzer was Quadrupole. The peaks were analyzed using data analysis software NIST-2011. The analysis was carried out in column HP- 5 ms, dimensions- 30 m length, 0.25 mm ID.0.25 µm film thickness. The initial temperature ramp was maintained at 40°C, for 2 min. At the end the temperature ramp was 310°C and hold time was 10 min. The rate of temperature ramp was 10°C/min. The experiment was programmed with total run time 41 min, helium was used as a carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. split less flow 1 ml/min. Injection volume was 1 ul with scan mass range 30 m/z - 600 m/z having positive polarity.

## **Results and Discussion**

### FTIR spectra of DOM from wetlands

The results of the FTIR analysis of the DOM for both the wet and dry seasons are presented in Figs. 4 and 5 for the five sampling locations. The spectra show agreement among the spectroscopic profiles of the different DOM, indicating that

the structures and functional groups are similar. The main signals are seen in the ranges between 3312-3350 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to the H-bonded of OH stretching of carboxyl, phenol and alcohols. This band may also be attributed to stretching vibration of N-H in amines and amides; stronger intensity signals ranging between 1618 and 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to the C=O stretching of COO, ketonic C=O, and aromatic C=C; signals ranging from 1400 -`1454 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to C-H of carbohydrates; and strong signals ranging from 1000-1068 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to the C-O group of polysaccharides; and strong signals between 1313 and 1383 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to polyhydroxylated phenols and C-N stretching of aromatic amines. The IR spectra obtained for the DOM from the five sampling locations agree with the IR spectrum of humic acids (Shin and Monsallier, 1999). Lower intensity signals ranging from 1722 to 1737 cm<sup>-1</sup> are found in J, Br, Ng and M (Figs. 4 and 5) corresponding to carboxylic and carbonyl stretching vibrations. More so, lower intensity signals are also observed between 1566 and 1581 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which correspond to aromatic C=C, N-H bending and C=N stretching at sites J, L, and Br (Figs. 4 and 5). In the spectra profile of all the wetlands studied, there were also minor peaks at 2065, 2080, 2087, 2091, 2120, 2542, 2549, 2851 and 2,918 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which were ascribed to the C-H stretching of methyl and methylene groups of aliphatic chains.



Fig. 4:FTIR spectra of DOM obtained from wetlands during the wet season



Fig. 5:FTIR spectra of DOM obtained from wetlands during the dry season

These results indicated that the DOM of these wetlands contain the phenolic hydroxyl groups, hydroxyl group, conjugated double bond of aromatic family (C=C), amino group and free carboxyl groups. However, phenolic hydroxyl and conjugated double bonds have been implicated for the production of trihalomethane (THM) and trihaloacetic acids. It had been reported that some aromatic halogenated DBPs can decompose to form haloacetic acids (HAAs) and THM.

From the IR spectra of J and Br (Fig. 5) in the dry season, weak signals were observed at 2918 and 2851 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These bands which revealed the presence of aliphatic chain; at 2918 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a small shoulder (C-H asymmetric stretching in CH<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>) and at 2851 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-H symmetric stretching in  $CH_2$ ) were absent in the spectrum of L (Fig. 5). This could be due to photodegradetion of these compounds, as some parts of the Br, N, M and J sampled were covered with vegetation. However, these peaks were also seen during the wet season in the spectra of DOM from sites J. N and M (Fig. 4). Likewise, signals were observed at 1737, 1734 and 1734 cm<sup>-1</sup> in J, Br and N (Fig. 4), respectively; these signals are absent in L and M (Fig. 5) during the dry season. This may be attributed to the binding between metals and COO<sup>-</sup> group. But in the wet season, this peak was observed in M (Fig. 4). Also, a weak peak was seen in the spectrum of DOM from J (Fig. 5), at 1566 cm<sup>-1</sup>, this peak is absent in the other sampling locations during the dry season, though it was observed in the IR profiles of DOM from sites L, M and Br (Fig. 4) during the wet season. This may be attributed to the inflow of runoff into these wetlands. In addition, the spectrum profile of DOM from site M (Figs. 4 and 5) does not shows any peak at 1402 cm<sup>-1</sup> in both the wet and the dry seasons. This peak which corresponds to the C-H of carbohydrates was recorded in the spectra profiles from sites L, Br, J and N (Fig. 4). The bands 3696, 3622 and 3700 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Figs. 4 and 5) are all related to amides and amines which are characteristics of proteins and amino sugars (Leenheer and Rostand, 2007), thus explaining the high nitrogen content previously determined in DOM from Lokoja, Baturiya, Matara-Uku and Jebba wetlands.

The phenolic hydroxyl and conjugated double bonds are responsible for the disinfection byproducts of trichloromethanes and trichloroacetic acid formations while amino and carboxyl groups are the main precursors for the formation of dichloroacetic acids and dihalomethanes (Angzhen *et al.*, 2014).

#### GC-MS analysis of DOM from wetlands

The GC-MS chromatogram of the DOM from the five sampling locations showed the presence of sixty one (61) compounds (Figs. 6 to 15). The assignment of peaks were based on the comparison of mass spectra with the spectral library of National Institute of standard and Technology (NIST 11). The X-axis represents the retention time of each compound identified in minutes while the Y-axis represents the intensity. Tables 2 - 11 show the retention time, name of the compounds and their molecular weight. The 61 different compounds identified for GC-MS analyses show evidence for the presence of phenol derivatives, carboxylic acids, unsaturated esters, conjugated alkenes, haloalkanes, ethers, alcohols alkanes, alkanal, amines and ketones in the DOM samples.

Table 1: Summarised FTIR Spectra of Dissolved Organic Matter from Wetlands

Wave number (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	) region (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Vibrational Assig.	functional group
3321(J), 3450(M)	3500-3200	alcohol, phenols	0-H
3391(L), 3312(Br)		1°, 2° amines, amides	N-H
3327(N)			
2900(J), 2900(M)	3000-2850	alkanes	C-H
2900(L), 2918(Br),			
2900(N)			
1618(J), 1640(M)	1650-1580	carbonyl, 1° amines	C=O
1640(L), 1622(Br),		alkenes	-C=C-
1640(N)			
1566(J), 1581(M)	1650-1450	aromatics	C–C
1566(L), 1566(Br),			
1500(N)			
1454(T) 1450(NA)	1454 1400	Carbohrideatos	C 0
1434(J), 1430(M), 1402(L), 1454(Pr)	1434-1400	Carbonyurates	0
1402(L), 1454(BI), 1454(BI), 1454(N)			
1404(14)			
1343(J), 1380(M),	1383-1313	Polyhydroxylated	
1383(L), 1313(Br),		phenols, C-N stretchin	g C–N
1365(N)		of aromatic amines	0
1186(J), 1100(M)	1250-1020	aliphatic amines	C–N
1100(L), 1100(Br)			
1100(N)			
1027(I) 1069(N)	1068 1000	Delwaasharidaa	0.0
103/(J), 1000(M), 1010(L), 1048(Dr)	1008-1000	Polysacchandes	-C-O
1010(L), 1040(DI),			
1000(14)			
836(J), 881(M)	840-690	aromatic disubstituted	C-H
851(L), 836(Br)			
840(N)			
J= Jabba, M= Matara-U	ku, L= Lokoja, E	8r=Baturiya, N=Nguru	

S/N t<sub>R</sub> (min) Name of Compound molecular weight 1. 13.115 Phenol 94 3.7.11-Trimethyldedecan-1-ol 210 2. 17.922 225 3. 18.307 2,6,10-Trimethyltetradecane 4 20 876 (Z)-3-Dodecene 168 30.208 1,1-Bis(dodecyloxy)hexadecane 5. 440 31.371 33.350 2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol Globulol 6. 7. 206 222 33.719 2-Methylhexadecan-1-ol 8 238 (Z)-1-[2-[(Z)-octadec-9-enoxy]-ethoxy]oc-tadec-9-ene) Q 38 213 562 10. 39.438 methyl 3-hydroxy-2-tetradecylocta-Decanoate 492 
 Table 6. Identified Compounds in Baturiya Wetlands During the Wet Season

 S/N
 t<sub>R</sub> (min)
 Name of Compound
 Molecular Weight
 t<sub>R</sub> (min) 1 18 417 Methyl-2-octylcyclopropane Dodecanoate 366 (Z)-3-Dodecene 3-Trifluoroacetoxytetradecane 2 20 844 168 27.647 196 3. 2,3-dihydroxypropyl2,4-Bis-(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol 4. 31.355 206 5 33,138 (-)-Spathuleno 220 Behenic alcohol Docosanol 6. 7. 33.696 308 36.280 37.293 5-Octadecenal Methyltetradecanoate 252 242 8. 38.205 39.265 9. 17-Pentatriacontene 490 10. Rhodopin ol) Methylhexadecanoate 536 39.430 40.577 11. 12. 270 Methyl octadec-10-enoate 296 Cyclopropanebutanoic acid, 2-[[2-[[2-[(2-pentylcyclopropyl)-13 40 718 methyl]cyclopropyl]methyl]cyclo-propyl]methyl]-,methyl ester 334 Table 7. Identified Compounds in Jebba Wetlands During the Dry Season t<sub>R</sub> (min) S/N Name Of Compound Molecular Weight 18.339 1. 2.6-dimethyldecane 170 30.263 1-Dodecanol 168 2. 3. 36.374 1-Hexadecanol 224 4... 39.462 methyl 3-hydroxy-2-tetra Decyloctadecanoate 492 Table 8. Identified compounds in Lokoja wetlands during the dry season Name of Compound Molecular weight S/N t<sub>R</sub> (min) 1. 13.115 Phenol 14.725 17.922 18.315 2. 3. 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol 3,7,11-Trimethyldodecan-1-ol 112 210 4 2.6-dimethyldecane 170 5. 20.876 (Z)-3-Dodecene 168 27.671 31.355 2-Methylhexadecan-1-ol 2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol 6. 7. 238 206 31.748 33.719 Methyl-10-methylundecanoate 2-Methylhexadecan-1-ol 8. 9. 214 238 36.312 37.301 38.213 39.438 5-Octadecenal Methyl tetradecanoate 17-Pentatriacontene 10 252 242 11. 12. 13. 490 Methyl -14-methylpentadecanoate 270 14. 40.548 Rhodopin 536 15 40 718 Oleic acid . eicosvl ester 562

T able 5. Identified Compounds in Matara-Uku Wetlands During the Wet Season

S/N	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Name of Compound	Molecular Weight
1.	13.115	Phenol	94
2.	17.577	2-Myristynoyl Pantetheine	484
3.	20.852	1,1-Bis(dodecyloxy)hexadecane	440
4.	27.655	3-Trifluoroacetoxytetradecane	196
5.	30.192	9-Hexadecanoic acid	236
6.	31.355	2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol	206
`7.	33.704	2-Methylhexadecan-1-ol	238
8.	38.205	17-Pentatriacontene	490
9.	39.438	Methylhexadecanoate	270

<u>Table 2 Identified Compounds in Jebba Wetlands During the Wet Season</u> S/N t<sub>R</sub> (min) Name of Compound Molecular Weight

156

170

168

224

334

2-Methyldecane

2,6-dimethyldecane (E)-2-Dodecene

1-Dodecanol 168

Cyclopropanebutanoic acid,

2-[[2-[[2-[(2-pentylcyclopropyl)methyl]cyclopropyl]methyl]cyclopropyl]methyl]-, methyl ester

1-Hexadecanol

1

2

3

4

5

6

17.962

18.331 20.907

30.255 36.367

39.454

Table 4.	Identified Com	pounds in Nguru Wetlands	During the Wet Season
S/N	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Name of Compound	Molecular Weight

1.	13.115	Phenol	94
2.	17.922	2-Myristynoyl Pantetheine	484
3.	18.315	2,6,10-Trimethyltetradecane	225
4.	20.884	1,1-Bis(dodecyloxy)hexadecane	440
5.	27.679	2-Methylhexadecan-1-ol	238
6.	31.355	2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol	206
7.	33.735	2,2,4-Trimethyl-3-carboxyisopropyl,-	
		isobutyl ester	243
8.	37.985	3-acetoxy-7,8-epoxylanostan-11-ol	484
9.	38.213	17-Pentatriacontene	490
10.	39.446 Cyclopropanebutanoic acid, 2-[[2-[[2-[(2- pentylcyclopropyl) methyl] cyclopropyl]- methyl] cyclopropyl]methyl]-, methyl ester 334		(2- elopropyl]- ester 334

S/N	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Name of Compound N	folecular weight
1.	17.915	4-Methyl decane	156
2.	18.300	3,6-Dimethyl decane	170
3.	18.425	Stearic acid hydroxide	298
4.	20.868	3-Dodecene	168
5.	31.363	2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phen	ol 206
6.	39.438	Methylhexadecanoate	270
7.	40.577	Methyl-10-octadecenoate	296
8.	40.719	Dodec anoic acid, 1a,2,5,5a,- 6,9,10,10a,-octahydro-5a-hydroxy (hydroxymethyl)-1,1,7,9-tetrameth dioxo-1H-2,8a-methyl	-4- yl-6,11- 528

 Table 10. Identified compounds in Matara-Uku wetlands during the dry season

 N/N
 to (min)
 Name of Compound
 Molecular weight

5/14	(K (IIIII)	Name of Compound	Worceutar werg
1.	13.304	Methyl-9-Octadecen-12-ynoate	292
2.	14.278	1-methyl-4-propan-2-ylbenzene	134
3.	14.686	2-Ethyl hexan-1-ol	112
4.	17.883	2-Methyl decane	141
5.	18.276	2,6-Dimethyldecane	170
6.	18.425	2-Hydroxy-2-octylsebacic acid	312
7.	20.845	7-Tetradecene	196
8.	28.975	?-ylangene	204
9.	31.347	2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol	206
10.	33.696	2-Methyl hexadecane-1-ol	238
11.	36.288	5-Octadecenal	252
12.	37.042	3-Ethyl-5-(2-ethylbutyl) octadecane	364
13.	38.205	17-Pentatriacontene	490
14.	39.43	Methylhexadecanoate	270
15.	40.577	Rhodopin	536

Table 11. Identified compounds in Baturiya wetlands during the wet season

S/N	t <sub>R</sub> (min)	Name of Compound N	folecular weight
1.	10.201	3,6,6-Trimethyl bicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-e	ne 121
2.	12.039	Z,Z,Z-4,6,9-Nonadecantriene	262
3.	14.348	cis-5,8,11-Eicosapentaenoic acid	292
4.	15.668	1-Methyl-4-(1-methylethylidene)-	
		Cyclohexene	136
5.	27.027	alfa. Copaene	204
6.	28.386	Caryophyllene	204
7.	29.446	Humulene	204
8.	31.355	2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol	206
9.	35.338	2-[4-methyl-6-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohes	s-1-
		enyl)hexa-1,3,5-trienyl]cyclohex-1-en-	1-
		carboxaldehyde	324
10.	36.131	?- bisabolol	222
11.	31.630	1,2,4a,5,8,8a-Hexahydro-4,7-dimethyl-	<u>1-</u>
		(1-methylethyl) naphthalene	204
12.	39.438	Methyl hexadecanoate	270

Chromatograms of the DOM samples are shown in Figs. 6-15. Some lignin-derived phenolic compounds are observed. These compounds mostly originate from the cleavage of the β-O-4 bonds from lignin-derived moieties. The major components identified in all DOM samples were phenol, 2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol, 1-Methyl-4-propan-2ylbenzene (p-Cymene), methyl esters, 2-Myristynoyl pantetheine, 2,2,4-Trimethyl-3-carboxyisopropyl,isobutyl ester, 1,1-Bis(dodecyloxy) hexadecane, Stearic acid hydrizide, 3-Trifluoroacetoxytetradecane, aldehydes, Rhodopin, 2-hydroxy-2-octylsebacic acid, 3-acetoxy-7,8epoxylanostan-11-ol, alkenes, alcohols and cyclocompounds. The presence of methyl esters in the studied DOM samples suggests that these samples may contain lignin-derived moieties, which have been oxidized at the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the side chain of the lignin subunit. Lignin polymers may be attacked by microbial enzymes which selectively oxidize the  $\alpha$ -carbon in the side-chain (Crawford, 1981).



Fig. 6: Chromatogram of DOM from Jebba wetlands during the wet season



Fig. 7: Chromatogram of DOM from Lokoja wetlands during the wet season



Fig. 8: Chromatogram of DOM from Nguru wetlands during the wet season



Fig. 9: Chromatogram of DOM from Matara-Uku wetlands during the wet season



Fig. 10: Chromatogram of DOM from Baturiya wetlands during the wet season



Fig. 11: Chromatogram of DOM from Jebba wetlands during the dry season



Fig. 12: Chromatogram of DOM from Lokoja wetlands during the dry season

235





Fig. 13: Chromatogram of DOM from Nguru wetlands during the dry season



Fig. 14: Chromatogram of DOM from Matara-Uku wetlands during the dry season

236



Fig. 15: Chromatogram of DOM from Baturiya wetlands during the dry season

2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol, which have been related to some extent to the presence of algal polyphenolic macromolecules (Van Heemst *et al.*, 1996) and proteinaceous organic matter (Van Heemst *et al.*, 1999), were recorded in the spectra of these DOM samples. Therefore, the contribution of polyphenolic macromolecules from non-lignin moieties to DOM in the Northern Nigeria Wetlands is significant.

Dissolved organic-matter (DOM) molecules contain functional groups capable of complexing inorganic and organic pollutants. This is a potential risk as these pollutants are taken up by plants and consumed by man.

#### Conclusion

The infrared spectrum showed the structural composition of the DOM present in the wetlands. These results indicate that the DOM of these wetlands contain the phenolic hydroxyl groups, hydroxyl group, conjugated double bond of aromatic family (C=C), amino, amide and free carboxyl groups. The major components identified in all DOM samples analyzed using GC-MS were phenol, 2,4-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol, 1-Methyl-4-propan-2-ylbenzene (p-Cymene), methyl esters, pantetheine, 2-Myristynoyl 2,2,4-Trimethyl-3carboxyisopropyl, isobutyl 1,1-Bis(dodecyloxy) ester. hexadecane. Stearic acid hydrizide, 3-Trifluoroacetoxytetradecane aldehvdes. 2-Rhodopin. hydroxy-2-octylsebacic acid, alkenes, alcohols, amines and cyclocompounds. It was observed that these wetlands contain carbonyl, phenyl hydroxyl and amino functional groups which indicate that dissolved organic matter (DOM) from these wetlands have a strong reactive potential with inorganic and organic pollutants. These functional groups have been implicated for the formation of disinfectant by-products like trihalomethane and trihaloacetic acids which are carcinogenic.

#### **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### References

Aluwihare LI & Repeta DJA 1999. Comparison of the chemical characteristics of oceanic DOM and extracellular DOM produced by marine algae Mar. Ecol.: Prog. Ser., 186: 105–117.

- Angzhen L, Xu Z, Ran M, Huijuan L & Jiuhui Q 2014. Characterization of dissolved organic matter from surface waters with low to high dissolved organic carbon and the related disinfection byproduct formation potential. J. Hazardous Materials, 271: 228–235.
- Benke, MB, Mermut, AR & Chatson B 1998. Carbon-13 CP/MAS NMR and DR-FTIR spectroscopic studies of sugar-cane distillery waste. *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 78, 227-236.
- Capriel P 1997. Hydrophobicity of organic matter in arable soils: influence of management. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 48: 457-462.
- Gibert O, Lefevre B, Fernandez M, Bernat X, Paraira M & Pons M 2013. Fractionation and removal of dissolved organic carbon in a full-scale granular activated carbon filter used for drinking water production. *Water Res.*, 47: 2812–2829.
- Hedges JI 2002. Biogeochemistry of Marine Dissolved Organic Matter, ed. D. A. Hansell and C. A. Carlson, Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 1–33.
- Hinedi ZR, Chang AC & Borchardt DB1997. Quantification of microporosity by nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation of water imbibed in porous media. *Water Res.*,31: 877–883.
- Jacangelo J, DeMarco J, Owen D & Randtke S 1995. Selected processes for removing NOM: an overview. J. Am. Water Works Assoc., 87: 64–77.
- Kaiser K Guggenberger G & Zech W 2001. Isotopic fractionation of dissolved organic carbon in shallow forest soils as affected by sorption. *Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol.*, 28: 411-419.
- Kaschl A, Romheld V & Chen J 2002. Cadmium binding by fraction of dissolved organic matter and humic substances from municipal solid waste compost. J. Environ. Qual., 31: 1885–1892.
- Kim S, Simpson AJ, Kujawinski EB, Freitas MA & Hatcher PG 2003. High resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry and 2D solution NMR for the analysis of

DOM extracted by C-18 solid phase disk. Org. Geochem., 34: 1325–1335.

- Leenheer JA, Noyes TI, Rostad CE & Davisson ML2004. Characterization and origin of polar dissolved organic matter from the Great Salt Lake. *Biogeochemistry*, 69: 125–141.
- Lennon JT 2004. Experimental evidence that terrestrial carbon subsidies increase CO<sub>2</sub> flux from lake ecosystems. *Decologia*, 138(4): 584–591.
- Lu XQ Maie N, Hanna JV, Childer DL & Jaffe R 2003. Molecular Characterization of Dissolved Organic Matter in Freshwater Wetlands of Florida Everglades. *Water Research*, 37: 2599-2606.
- Lu JH, Chang AC & Wu LS 2004. Distinguishing sources of groundwater nitrate by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of dissolved organic matter. *Environ. Pollut.*, 132: 365–374.
- Marschner B & Kalbitz K 2003. Controls of bioavailability and biodegradability of dissolved organic matter in soils. *Geoderma*,113: 211–235.
- Ohlenbusch G & Frimmel FH 2001. Investigations on the sorption of phenols to dissolved organic matter by a QSAR study. *Chemosphere*, 45: 323–327.
- Peuravuori J2005. NMR spectroscopy study of freshwater humic material in light of supramolecular assembly.*Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39: 5541–5549.

- Puddu A, Zoppini A & Pettine M 2000. Dissolved organic matter and microbial food web interactions in the marine environment: the case of the Adriatic Sea. Int. J. Environ. Pollut., 13: 473–494
- Ravichandran M 2004. Interactions between mercury and dissolved organic matter, a review.*Chemosphere*, 55: 319–331.
- Rochelle-Newall EJ & Fisher TR 2002. Chromophoric dissolved organic matter and dissolved organic carbon in Chesapeake Bay. *Mar. Chem.*, 77: 7–21.
- Seitzinger SP, Sanders RW & Styles R 2002. Bioavailability of DON from natural and anthropogenic sources to estuarine plankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 47: 353–366.
- Shin H, Monsallier JM & Gregory RC 1999. Spectroscopic and chemical characterizations of molecular size fractionated humic acid. *Talanta* 50: 641–647.
- vanHeemst JDH, Peulve S & de Leeuw JW 1996. Novel algal polyphenolic biomacromolecules as significant contributors to resistant fractions of marine dissolved and particulate organic matter. *Org. Geochem.*, 24: 629– 640.
- vanHeemst JDH, van Bergen PF, Stankiewicz BA & de Leeuw JW 1999. Multiple sources of alkylphenols produced upon pyrolysis of DOM, POM and recent sediments. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 52: 239–256.